

MINUTES
TOWN OF EDGEWOOD
REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING – MAY 15, 2013 @ 6:30 P.M.
EDGEWOOD COMMUNITY CENTER - #27 E. FRONTAGE ROAD

• **CALL TO ORDER.**

Mayor Hill called the meeting to order at 6:30 PM.

Councilors Present: Rita-Loy Simmons, Chuck Ring, John Abrams, Sherry Abraham.
Also present was Ms. Kay Davis, Administrator, Ms. Estefanie Muller, CMC, Clerk-Treasurer, and Mr. Robert White, Attorney, Robles, Rael & Anaya.

• **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.**

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA.

MOTION: Councilor Abrams made a motion to approve the Agenda as presented.
Councilor Simmons seconded the motion.

VOTE: Councilor Ring voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Simmons voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. The motion carried.

2. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA.

- A. Approval of the Regular Council Meeting Minutes of May 1, 2013
- B. Acknowledge Receipt of the Police Department Report for the month of April, 2013.
- C. Acknowledge Receipt of the Animal Control Report for the month of April, 2013.
- D. Acknowledge Receipt of the Library Board Minutes and Report for the month of April, 2013.

MOTION: Councilor Abrams made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda.
Councilor Simmons seconded the motion.

VOTE: Councilor Simmons voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Ring voted aye. The motion carried.

3. MATTERS FROM THE MAYOR.

Mayor Hill stated there is a Town Clean-Up this Saturday as well as a Budget Meeting. He also informed everyone that Blake's Lotaburger will be coming to Edgewood soon.

4. MATTERS FROM THE COUNCILORS and/or ANNOUNCEMENTS.

- A. Discuss Roads during Inclement Weather for Residents with Special Needs – Councilor Ring.
Councilor Simmons stated she had attended the Santa Fe County Road Advisory Committee Meeting.

_____ Initials

Councilor Abraham stated she had attended the Retro Meeting today. She added they had met with the owner of the mid way point building and were making plans to paint on June 1st and they are looking for volunteers to help out.

Councilor Abrams stated the Santa Fe County Health Plan Commission will hold a forum at the Santa Fe Community College. They will be putting together their recommendations for the next two years. He added he had received an email from a NMDOT representative, and it looks like they will get the go ahead for the trail improvements along 344.

Councilor Ring stated he would like to see the Town continue its policy to clear the roads during inclement weather for individuals with health concerns.

Mayor Hill asked if the Town had formally adopted such a policy. He added it is likely still on place but is worthy of reiteration by the Council.

Ms. Davis confirmed they had adopted the policy this past winter.

Mayor Hill stated he would request this be placed on a future agenda so the Council may formalize the policy.

5. MATTERS FROM THE ATTORNEY.

None.

6. ESTANCIA BASIN RESOURCE ASSOCIATION (EBRA) – Mr. Art Swenka.

Mr. Art Swenka of EBRA presented his annual report to the Council. He discussed legislative bills presented this year. Mr. Swenka discussed the wells located in the basin and the decrease in the depth of approximately five feet per year except the wells located in the Manzano Mountains which increased after the fire. He reviewed the status of the financial report for the Association. Mr. Swenka requested the Memorandum of Understanding be approved with the \$500.00 annual fee. He stated surrounding communities are members with annual fees or in-kind contributions. A quarterly newsletter is sent to the members. Mr. Swenka informed the Council of public service announcements available through the radio station in Estancia and provided a form to be submitted with the information.

7. SALT MISSIONS TRAIL SCENIC BYWAY PRESENTATION – Ms. Loretta Tollefson.

A. Adoption of Resolution No. 2013-09.

Ms. Loretta Tollefson of Salt Mission Trail Scenic Byway, made a presentation to the Council highlighting the new logo. She described the signs they will place in each of the communities along the Byway and stated they would pass on some of the costs to each community. She added there would be opportunities for the communities to use these signs to advertise special events as well. She stated they are combining efforts with the Route 66 Retro Group as well.

Councilor Simmons asked for a change to the second sentence of the Resolution to clarify the geographical center.

Councilor Ring stated Ms. Tollefson should be commended for the work she has done. He also discussed the relationship between this project and the Route 66 Revitalization.

____ Initials

MOTION: Councilor Simmons made a motion to approve Resolution No. 2013-09 with a modification to the location in New Mexico dropping the word East. Councilor Abrams seconded the motion.

Mayor Hill stated the graphic design of the logo is outstanding.

VOTE: Councilor Ring voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Simmons voted aye. The motion carried.

8. PUBLIC COMMENTS OF GENERAL MATTERS.

Mr. Leonard Navare discussed the paving of roads in the Venus Ridge Subdivision. He stated he represented the other 42 residents of the subdivision in requesting they be next in line for road paving. He presented a petition to the Council for their review.

Ms. Janelle Turner stated she was delighted to see the work moving forward on trails. She reminded the Mayor and Council of Resolution No. 2012-01 that was passed last year that tasked the Planning & Zoning Commission with working on road design standards and requested this be put back before the Commission for more work. She added she was happy to see the website is up but she is a little disappointed in the state of the archived minutes. She stated she had been uploading old minutes and Town documents on Google as an unofficial portal and would be happy to make that information available. As the previous secretary for the Planning & Zoning Commission she wanted to clarify that New Mexico State Law says a set of minutes shall be produced within ten days after a meeting and should be available to the public upon request. It is not necessary to wait until they are approved before they are made public.

Mr. Grannemann discussed the pipeline that is being laid by MAPCO stating he was concerned about the safety of the community and hoped the Council was making sure safety measures were being followed. He added that some communities are requiring automatic shut off valves in case of an emergency. Mayor Hill stated there are three pipelines that run through the Town. He added they had expressed their concerns to MAPCO. Ms. Davis stated she had talked to representatives from MAPCO regarding the Town's concerns. The representative had provided their operational safety overview. Mayor Hill stated he had also instructed the Town Attorney to research what ability the Town may have to impose restrictions. He added they are taking this seriously and will keep the public informed.

9. PUBLIC HEARING.

Legislative Procedure: Certification that Public Notice of this Meeting has been posted as required:

Ms. Muller certified that Public Notice had been posted as required.

A. Amendment to the Subdivision Ordinance No. 2005-14.

Mayor Hill reviewed this item stating his intent was to find relief from the over aggressive review of minor land divisions. He was pleased with the feedback they

_____Initials

received regarding the draft that was brought forward, stating there were a number of really good ideas by the public as well as the Planning & Zoning Commission. He added Land Use Attorney, Mr. Robert White has been asked to assist with this process. Mr. White explained this draft had come forward as a means to create a process that is less complicated, and less expensive. There are a number of changes that can be made which would include the suggestions from the Planning & Zoning Commission. The draft put forward would allow the Town Administrator to approve of minor subdivisions which were identified as three lots or less and is similar to the Albuquerque minor subdivision ordinance. He described the Albuquerque Ordinance and stated the version he had discussed with the Mayor would be much more restrictive than that. One appropriate change would be to clarify that the Town Administrator must follow the provisions of the Subdivision Ordinance this would include all of the notification provisions spelled out in the Ordinance. The other change would be to increase the number of years between subdivisions from one year to three to help prevent serial divisions. The final amendment that could be made would be to provide a remedy to any objections that arise within the application. This can be accomplished by the Administrator posting to the website and other appropriate locations, the proposed application approval and allow interested parties to request a review by the Planning & Zoning Commission prior to final approval. This would prevent the Administrator from approving applications that are not in the best interest of the community. By having a process like this included under minor divisions, and allowing a 5 or 10 day period to request an appeal of the approval to the Commission, would address some of the concerns that have been legitimately raised.

Mayor Hill added for most of these small land divisions it is unlikely there will be objections, but on the occasion there is one, a mechanism should be in place that would trigger a more public review of that process. There can be a less expensive process in place for a majority small land divisions that come forward but if there is one that has some controversy or a party feels they are being adversely affected by a potential land division then that application would go through a more vetted process.

Councilor Simmons requested an explanation of the current subdivision process. She said it was her understanding the application is made to the Administrator then goes before Planning & Zoning.

Mayor Hill stated it goes through the entire subdivision process which includes a preliminary review then there are several steps.

Councilor Simmons asked if upon approval by Planning & Zoning and there is not a subsequent appeal, then the Council accepts what Planning and Zoning has set out, only an appealed application would come before the Council.

Ms. Davis confirmed this was the case.

Councilor Ring asked to have the proposed procedure side by side with the current procedure to reduce the confusion in considering all of the factors. He added that he had not seen the suggestions from Chairman Gabel until tonight.

Councilor Abraham stated she had looked at Chairman Gabel's recommendations today and felt they needed to be included in the proposed changes.

Mayor Hill stated he had anticipated this hearing being continued so the Council may have a chance to review the information presented. He added there will be more input

forthcoming.

Councilor Abraham stated that public notice needs to remain as part of the process. Mayor Hill responded that if they do that there is no reason to change anything. He added the point is to make a basic land division a simple administrative process without the need for a public hearing. The intent is to clearly identify in the Ordinance how this process can be simplified for some applicants. If it is the will of the Council to require a public hearing for every land use application, that is totally different than what is proposed, but that is the way it will be. There can be some simple modifications with an overall review of the Ordinance but there are other communities that have a similar process in place. The process in place makes it so you would have to hire someone to complete a simple land division. Mayor Hill stated he had heard from many people over and over while campaigning for the last election, there is a strong voice out there saying, make this process work. He asked for Council input, if they want a simplified process or to leave it as is.

Councilor Simmons stated she was in favor of simplification but wanted to be cautious about serial subdividing.

Mayor Hill suggested allowing one subdivision administratively then the next one would need to go through the full process.

Councilor Abraham stated she welcomed simplification but was not in favor of an administrative process.

Councilor Abrams expressed concern over the fact it appears they are going backwards. They completed a simplification from the 1999 Ordinance which is the current Ordinance. He asked if the division of land was really an arduous process and does it need to be that way for the public's edification and the public's assurance. There are two reasons they need to do this. One is to allow for the subdivision of private property and is part of what they have been charged with as a municipality. The other one is to protect the public interest in those divisions of land and in doing so protect the interest of the community at large. He added that he definitely wants to serve the community and individuals in the community but does not want to disserve any of them in the process. He added that he is not sure what is the right way to go and encouraged people to read the 1999 version of the Ordinance. It needs to be discussed in more detail so they may determine what is in the best interest of the community. He agreed a continuance will be necessary because this is not a complete amendment to the Ordinance, there are many things missing and it will require a lot of editing. They need to very carefully define the terminology and carefully outline all of the provisions and this will require a major Ordinance review.

Councilor Ring stated he would like to look at the documents side by side but there is some editing that will be required for this proposal. He added that he does not have a problem with shortening the process as long as it truly shortens the process but he agreed that the applicants should be required to have a complete P & Z review for any subsequent divisions.

Mayor Hill asked if it was the will of the Council to pursue an administrative process because he was receiving mixed responses is it worth their time to even consider this. They need to tell the public whether they will consider changing the process for minor subdivisions or if they are comfortable with the existing process.

Councilor Simmons stated they should explore the possibility of a simpler process. She agreed they should look at the documents side by side to see the differences.

Councilor Abraham stated that her primary concern was that the public notice process remain in place.

Mayor Hill agreed and this is implied specifically in the statement that the Administrator will have to follow all the rules spelled out.

Mr. White stated this was intended to be an interim amendment until a full revision of the Subdivision Ordinance can be made.

Mayor Hill stated he had been seeking relief over one particular issue that had been kicking around and feels badly for an applicant who is spending a lot of money and not getting anywhere, with no good reason for the delay. He added they may need to tell the applicant that the timing is bad and they may have to wait a few months for this to be revised. There are obviously a lot of concerns over this revision and they may need to bring this back as full review of the Ordinance.

Councilor Abrams stated a piece meal approach, especially for Ordinances that pertain to the division of land is not advisable. It would be a disservice to the community to offer ourselves up as the sacrificial lamb and open ourselves up to lawsuits and that is what we would be doing.

Mayor Hill asked why they would be opening themselves to a lawsuit.

Councilor Abrams provided an example of an application for a subdivision of land while the changes are pending to the Ordinance, which provides an opportunity for the applicant to be dissatisfied if they are held to a different standard then comes forward later after revisions.

Mayor Hill asked Mr. White if there was any legal bearing in that statement.

Mr. White stated he had seen lawsuits for nearly everything in land use so he cannot disagree that there is a potential. He added that this discussion on whether to make a change in the interim or wait for review of the entire Ordinance is valid.

Councilor Ring stated he did not see much potential for a lawsuit if they make an amendment to the Ordinance and he does not recall discussing changes to the entire Ordinance. He did not recall anything being presented to the Council that there was a need for change to the whole Ordinance.

Mayor Hill responded that he had presented it on a number of occasions. He added there are inconsistencies and contradictions in the Ordinance.

Councilor Ring stated that previous Planning & Zoning Commissions worked well with the Ordinance.

Mayor Hill replied that there are people who are saying it is not working well.

Councilor Ring stated that the Planning & Zoning Commission said it worked well for them.

Mayor Hill stated that the people who are coming before the Planning & Zoning Commission are saying it is not working well. He added the Planning & Zoning Commission is not the judge as to whether or not it is working. The judge of whether it's working is, one, the public as the applicant and, the Council as the policy makers. The Planning & Zoning is an advisory committee.

Councilor Ring stated there is one thing wrong with this and asked if it was the Mayor who had heard from the public that the Ordinance was not working, because he had not

heard it from the public.

Councilor Abraham responded that she was the public that is was not working for her. Councilor Ring stated that if there is a need to change to whole Ordinance there is no reason to piecemeal this part.

Mayor Hill sated that because of his background, people will come to him with their concerns and they would go to the other Councilors with different concerns. He has heard their concerns and he has heard them strongly and feels this is something that needs to be done in a democratic process and not an emotional thing for him. Public Policy stuff is good hard work that they need to do. This is the appropriate way for laws to be vetted and reviewed. It is his opinion there is a problem and he is bringing it forward and was elected because the land use policies are a mess and needed to be fixed. This is going to be a long and difficult process with long hours but it needs to be done and this is the first step.

Councilor Simmons referred to the Ordinance regarding whether the authority lies with Planning & Zoning or with the Council and it looks like it lies with the Council. She read a portion of the Ordinance.

Mayor Hill added that you could say it ultimately lies with the Courts because it can be appealed up to them. He stated the problem is that the applicant had not been able to get to Final Submission before the Commission because the target keeps moving.

Councilor Simmons stated that according to the Ordinance it is not a moving target.

Mayor Hill responded that they wanted it to be predictable but the perception is that it is not predictable and that different applicants are treated differently and that is inappropriate.

Councilor Abrams stated that perception is not law and is everything but law.

Mayor Hill responded that he was not saying it is law.

Councilor Abrams continued in that law is very specific and our Ordinance needs to be very specific. If there are inconsistencies in the Ordinance they need to address and fix them and is in the best interest of the public land owners and the community.

Councilor Ring stated that if they are going to review the Ordinance they can begin at any spot including this one. There may be people here that are in favor of this change and we should hear from them.

Councilor Abraham stated she felt this was a good simplification process if they include the items suggested by the Planning & Zoning Commission and including the provision that subsequent divisions must go through the full process.

Mayor Hill clarified the position of the Council stating there are two Councilors who feel they can start here and try to adopt something to address minor subdivisions and two Councilors that say we need to tackle the whole thing. The public hearing will likely be continued and there are three things that can happen. One, take this proposal as it is written and either adopt it or reject it. Two, take it and modify it and accept it. Three, they would begin the process of reviewing the entire Ordinance. These are the procedural options they have.

At this time the Mayor called for public comment calling Planning & Zoning Chairman Brad Gabel to speak first.

Mr. Brad Gabel addressed the Council stating that what he had heard were two very diametric approaches, one being an administrative process, and the other being the

____ Initials

existing process. He feels the suggestions from the Commission will serve as middle ground. He provided a summary of the recommendations that were unanimously endorsed by the Commission in relation to the proposed amendment to the Towns Subdivision Ordinance. He stated they had compared the proposal to both the City of Albuquerque and Santa Fe County because they believed they had similar constructs to the major and minor subdivision types that are proposed and specific references are included as an appendix. The recommendations fall into 4 areas. The first concerns the definition of a minor subdivision and this was discussed in the comments. As proposed the amendment defines minor subdivisions simply based on the number of resulting lots as being three or less. This number in the other ordinances did vary and based on their review and discussion it was felt that other qualifiers would be needed to narrow the qualifications of a minor subdivision and protect the rights and concerns of adjoining property owners and potential future owners. They suggest adding that all resulting lots have direct access to an existing street, there is no significant infrastructure required, that it does not adversely affect adjoining properties and that it only applies to parcels zoned for residential use. He added commercial development can be very simple and straight forward they often will require a bit more rigorous review. The Commission also discussed the 365 day time limit between divisions and felt this may encourage more complex subdivisions that really should be exorcised under the rigors of a major subdivision. To help mitigate this loophole they suggest requiring a minimum of three years before subsequent subdivision applications would be considered as a minor subdivision and to explicitly state subsequent subdivisions applied for inside of that time frame along with any previously created lots would be subject to the provisions of a major subdivision. The third item out of four, concerns Commission review and approval. As proposed the amendment would delegate the Town Administrator to have sole review and approval authority for minor subdivision applications. The act of subdividing property can have significant financial and land use impacts for both the applicants and surrounding properties. For both of the reviewed cities, minor subdivisions end up being reviewed and decided upon at some level by a form of committee structure and therefore they propose that the Administrator should make a determination on a pre-application review, whether the application meets the requirements for a minor subdivision. Planning & Zoning Commission should receive the Administrators recommendation and provide subsequent review and approval under the simplified provisions of the minor subdivision type by not running through the same multi-step process but provide broader oversight of the actual approval. The last item addresses the value of public hearings for all subdivisions. The amendment as proposed, waives the opportunity for public hearing in the case of minor subdivisions. However, even the simplest of divisions can have an impact to adjoining property owners and potential future buyers. A properly posted and conducted public hearing provides for a complete transparent process where the public can challenge the subdivision and allow for refinement or clarification of that application prior to approval. The Commission proposes that an amendment retain a public hearing as part of the application process even where the overall process is streamlined. Councilor Ring asked if the public hearing was retained as part of the process who would the hearing board be.

Chairman Gabel replied it would be the Planning & Zoning Commission. He added in one the cities they reviewed there was a subcommittee of their full board that served in this capacity.

Mr. Steve Lampkin discussed a situation that occurred in Washington State. He stated he has lived in Edgewood for a short time and expressed his concern on sub-divisions turning into urban sprawl with limited access as he had seen.

Mr. Cheryl Bonino stated in her opinion the proposed language be voted down and start over, and agreed with implementing Planning & Zoning changes. She stated she would like to be notified of land being subdivided around her. She asked Council to consider not to piece-meal the ordinance and agreed with Mayor Hill on reviewing the whole ordinance.

Ms. Janelle Turner thanked Mr. White for his work towards the ordinance. She stated she had read the recommendation from Chairman Brad Gabel and as a citizen she reviewed the Town's Comprehensive Plan and every plat that is approved is reflected in the Comprehensive Plan. She stated she is not in favor of Administrative approvals as it is better with more people involved. Doing piece-meal is a concern. She asked the proposal be killed. She stated the sub-division document has worked historically.

Ralph Hill stated he is a cousin to the Mayor and has not discussed this issue with him. He stated he has seen land development in Santa Fe County where farms were split up. The Town of Edgewood fought to get away from Santa Fe County and the 1999 Ordinance was very complex. He is in favor of the amendment. He went on to discuss his experience in applying for a minor subdivision in order to give a piece of property to his nephew. After he spent \$2400.00 to develop a plan, he was told by the planning department, this would not work. He feels there needs to be a mechanism for family land divisions, not just developers and finished by saying to put faith in the Town Administration.

Mr. John Bassett stated he had sent a letter and packet to the Council members and is also asking the proposal be killed. The Planning & Zoning exist to do reviews, but agreed the wording needs to be clearer. He stated the public needs to pay attention to the Planning & Zoning Agenda; it reads hard and needs to be clearly defined. He discussed the pre-application process and how the process needs to be followed. The Ordinance is in place to make decisions. Councilor Simmons asked if there was a check list to which Mr. Bassett stated there is.

Mayor Hill stated that based on the conversations he has had with land use professionals it may be difficult and more time consuming to rework the existing Ordinance as opposed to starting fresh. He added this does not mean there will be a change in philosophy, because he does not think anyone wants sprawl. Water and wastewater would likely prevent that on its own.

Mr. Carl Calamia stated you need to worry about water, otherwise nothing will work.

Mr. Jack Snyder stated he was a former Commissioner and added the public needs to kept in the process no matter what is decided. He feels it would be difficult for one person to review every detail. Public Hearings are necessary.

Mayor Hill stated there were a couple of directions the Council could go. They can ask for a continuance and allow time to absorb what they have heard, incorporate the comments made by the Planning & Zoning Commission and revise what has been proposed. They could make a motion to accept it as presented, and if it is rejected the next step would be to decide if they are happy with what is in place or start working on revising the entire Ordinance.

Councilor Abrams requested they close the public hearing.

Mayor Hill stated he would close the public hearing when they have completed their discussion of the options.

MOTION: Councilor Simmons made a motion to continue the Public Hearing to the Meeting of June 5, 2013. Councilor Ring seconded for discussion.

Councilor Ring stated he would rather start the process to revise the entire Ordinance. Mayor Hill stated he would to bring back an amended version of the proposed changes but they can still go the other route and revise the whole thing.

Councilor Abraham agreed she would like to see a revised version of the proposal.

Mayor Hill clarified they would need to keep the Public Hearing open through the next meeting at least.

VOTE: Councilor Ring voted nay. Councilor Abrams voted nay. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Simmons voted aye. Mayor Hill voted aye. The motion carried.

Public Hearing will be continued at the Regular Council Meeting of June 5, 2013.

10. MATTERS FROM THE ADMINISTRATOR/PLANNER.

A. Call for Public Hearing – Consider Adoption of Ordinance for Hazardous Fire Conditions.

Ms. Davis reviewed this item for the Council.

Mayor Hill provided a brief overview of the Ordinance stating this would make them consistent with Santa Fe County and requested a Call for Public Hearing.

MOTION: Councilor Abrams made a motion to call for a Public Hearing to consider adopting an Ordinance declaring Hazardous Fire Conditions. Councilor Ring seconded the motion.

VOTE: Councilor Ring voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Simmons voted aye. The motion carried.

____ Initials

B. Review of Santa Fe County's Ordinance Declaring Hazardous Fire Conditions and Imposing Restrictions.

Ms. Davis introduced this item for the Council.

Mayor Hill reviewed this item stating this Ordinance would restrict fireworks in the Town. He added that Mr. Rael is reviewing this and they will bring it to the Council at the next Meeting.

11. RESOLUTIONS.

A. Resolution No. 2013-08 Open Meetings Resolution Amended per State Statute.

Mayor Hill reviewed this item for the Council stating this increases the meeting agenda availability from 24 to 72 hours prior to the meeting.

MOTION: Councilor Abrams made a motion to adopt Resolution No. 2013-08 Open Meetings Resolution Amendment per State Statute. Councilor Simmons seconded the motion.

Councilor Abrams clarified the preliminary draft of the Agenda will be available on Monday the week prior and the final Agenda will be posted on the Friday before the Wednesday meeting. Mayor Hill added there may be times when they need to hold special meetings possibly once or twice a year. Councilor Ring requested that placing a copy of the Agenda on the website be part of the Resolution.

Mayor Hill stated he could handle that administratively for this year and make sure it becomes part of the Resolution for next year.

VOTE: Councilor Simmons voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Ring voted aye. The motion carried.

B. Resolution No. 2013-10 A Resolution Proclaiming Extreme Drought Conditions. Mayor Hill reviewed this item for the Council and asked that it be tabled until these can be clarified.

MOTION: Councilor Abrams made a motion to table Resolution No. 2013-10 Proclaiming Extreme Drought Conditions. Councilor Ring seconded the motion.

VOTE: Councilor Ring voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Simmons voted aye. The motion carried.

12. APPROVAL OF THE FINANCIAL REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF APRIL, 2013.

Mayor Hill reviewed this item for the Council stating the economy in Edgewood continues to improve.

MOTION: Councilor Simmons made a motion to approve the Financial Report for the month of April, 2013. Councilor Abrams seconded the motion.

____ Initials

VOTE: Councilor Ring voted aye. Councilor Abrams voted aye. Councilor Abraham voted aye. Councilor Simmons voted aye. The motion carried.

- 13. ANNOUNCEMENTS and/or CALENDAR REVIEW.**
A. Special Council Meeting – May 18, 2013 @ 9:30 A.M.
B. Regular Council Meeting – June 5, 2013 @ 6:30 P.M.
C. Regular Council Meeting – June 19, 2013 @ 6:30 P.M.
Mayor Hill reviewed the announcements and the calendar.

- 14. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS.**
A. Joint Planning Agreement with State Land Office.

- 15. ADJOURN.**

MOTION: Councilor Simmons made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Councilor Abraham seconded the motion.

VOTE: All Councilors voted aye.

Mayor Hill adjourned the meeting at 9:45 PM

PASSED, APPROVED and ADOPTED this 5th day of JUNE, 2013

Brad E. Hill, Mayor

ATTEST:

Estefanie B. Muller, CMC, Clerk-Treasurer